What items to make to stock and what to make to order (pre-leveling discussion)

Assumptions / Key factors to consider:

Select the value stream and identify the products → LTS
Establish the value stream takt time (basis for staffing) → LTS/CCF
Level quantity → CLP
Level the mix → CLP
Determine the “right” type of pull system to run → CLP

Question:

Why is level and pull production good to pursue?

1. Un-level push
2. Level push
3. Un-level pull
4. Level Pull
Part frequency analysis – Look for an 80/20 rule

- **High runners**
  - Category: “A” items
  - % of daily demand: 60%
  - Frequency: Daily
- **Medium runners**
  - Category: “B” items
  - % of daily demand: 20%
  - Frequency: Weekly
- **Low runners**
  - Category: “C” items
  - % of daily demand: 20%
  - Frequency: Monthly

*Items are ordered by demand frequency not dollar value
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## Basic options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
<th>Type of pull system</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Hold FG inventory in everything and make all to stock</td>
<td>Ready to ship all items on short notice</td>
<td>Requires much inventory and space</td>
<td>Basic replenishment pull system</td>
<td>Toyota basic starting point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Hold no FG inventory and make all products to order</td>
<td>Less inventory</td>
<td>Requires high stability and short lead times to work best</td>
<td>Sequential pull system</td>
<td>More difficult to implement and maintain a level pull</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a. Hold Cs in inventory and make A and B products to order daily</td>
<td>Moderate inventory</td>
<td>Mixed production control. Requires daily stability.</td>
<td>Mixed pull system</td>
<td>Requires managing multiple schedule points and flows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b. Hold As and Bs in FG inventory. Make Cs to order</td>
<td>Moderate inventory</td>
<td>Mixed production control. Requires visibility on Cs</td>
<td>Mixed pull system</td>
<td>Requires Managing multiple schedule points and flows</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Toyota’s basic inventory logic for dummies

Basic inventory logic

- **Cycle stock**
  Inventory required to fulfill average demand

- **Buffer stock**
  Inventory to buffer against fluctuations in orders

- **Safety stock**
  Inventory to buffer against variability in internal mfg. processes

Market Inventory

Stocking markets based upon average demand alone often will lead to shortages!

*Assuming a normal distribution

Average demand

\[ \text{Average demand} \times \text{Lead time to replenish} \]

Standard deviation*

\[ \times (1) \text{ covers } 67\% \text{ of deviation} \]
\[ \times (2) \text{ covers } 95\% \text{ of deviation} \]
\[ \times (3) \text{ covers } 99\% \text{ of deviation} \]

Down time losses

\[ + \]

Quality losses

\[ = \text{Market inventory level} \]

*Assuming a normal distribution
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5S enables visual control (Inventory example)

**No organization**
- Good or bad?? Unclear
- No standard or basis for comparison

**Lean ideal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Normal vs. Abnormal clear
- Standard basis for comparison
Multiple scheduling points can cause chaos
Level production mix concept and effect

Illustrative example

**Case - 1**
- 1,200 per lot

**Case - 2**
- 400 per lot
- Repeat schedule

**Case - 3**
- 40 per lot
- Repeat schedule

**"Large batch"**
- 3 lots of 1,200
- 3 changeovers
- 10 day build
  - 10 day avg. inventory
  - 10 to 21 day lead time

**"Medium batch"**
- 9 lots of 400
- 9 changeovers
- 3.3 day build
  - 3.3 day avg. inventory
  - 3.3 to 6.6 day lead time

**"Small batch"**
- 90 lots of 40
- 90 changeovers
- 3 items per day build (EPED)
  - 1 day avg. inventory
  - 1 day lead time
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Change over reduction (SMED)

**Step**

1. Measure total changeover time

2. Identify internal vs. external elements and calculate individual time

3. Strip out external elements and pull them forward before the machine stops

4. Reduce and eliminate the internal elements, adjustments, etc.

5. Reduce the external elements

6. Standardize and improve the new changeover procedure over time

**Pre-work**

- **During machine shutdown**
  - E = External
  - I = Internal
  - Total: 75 minutes

  - **Pre-work**:
    - E: 4 minutes
    - I: 10 minutes
    - Total: 14 minutes
  - **During machine shutdown**:
    - E: 31 minutes
    - I: 8 minutes
    - Total: 39 minutes

  - **Total time**:
    - E: 35 minutes
    - I: 18 minutes
    - Total: 53 minutes
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Determine time available for non-production work
(1 machine example)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Average demand per day* (pieces)</th>
<th>Cycle time Per piece</th>
<th>Required run time per day</th>
<th>Average changeover time</th>
<th>Average scrap rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15487</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>40 sec.</td>
<td>136 min.</td>
<td>55 min.</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15488</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>45 sec.</td>
<td>228 min.</td>
<td>55 min.</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15489</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>40 sec.</td>
<td>339 min.</td>
<td>55 min.</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>703 min.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 1-shift production time available (net breaks and lunch) | 450 min.
Number of shifts | x | 2
Time available for production on 1 machine 1 day | = | 900 min.
Time required per day to meet average demand* | - | 703 min.
Net time available for set up and changeovers per day | = | 197 min.

*Your situation may require calculating demand per week or month as required
Set the number of change over events per interval

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-production time available</td>
<td>197 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average downtime (not including set-up and changeover times)</td>
<td>- 30 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time available for changeover work on 1 machine 1 day</td>
<td>= 167 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average changeover time</td>
<td>÷ 55 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible number of changeovers per day</td>
<td>= 3.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With only 3 part numbers and 3 possible changeovers per day -
Every part every day (EPED) is a good interval to start with in this instance
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Types of kanban

- Production Instruction (make)
- Parts Withdrawal (take)
- In-process kanban (flow processes)
- Signal kanban (batch processes)
- Inter-process (internal)
- Supplier (external)
Production instruction kanban with Heijunka box

1. FG inventory shipped
   kanban cards detached

2. Scheduling (or someone) organizes the
   Heijunka box with the items to be made
   in this case by pitch interval

3. On a timed interval the instruction kanban signal is sent
to assembly to produce type X

4. Inventory returned to FG stores to complete the loop
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Pull can also be “governed” by markets in some instances

- Markets signal what to make and when to stop
- The market is normally located at the producing department (but not always)
- Types of inventory in the market can be determined by A,B,C analysis (i.e. not everything has to be in the market)
- Quantities should be set in accordance with Cycle, Buffer, and Safety rules
- Kanban usage needs to be determined regarding signals to both get material from the market and signals back upstream regarding what to put back in the market
- Material handling must be linked as part of the system (more later on this)
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Pull can also be “governed” by FIFO lanes

- The FIFO lanes control the sequence of what to build
- The pace and quantity of production is controlled by the release of the schedule to the pacemakers at the start of the line
- Processes can not build without material being available
- WIP should be limited and regulated by designated certain finite spaces on the floor (e.g. “up”, “on deck”, & “in the hole”)
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Parts withdrawal and conveyance – two systems

1. Fixed time but unfixed quantity

- Think of the analogy of a city bus driver on a loop route that repeats
- A one hour loop for example would expect to be repeated eight times per shift
- Inventory line side / point of use would only need to then be 1-2 hours. The rest should be in a controlled market
- The exact items delivered each trip will vary in accordance with consumption signals however the timing of delivery is standard and consistent with this method
- You have to have a Plan For Every Part (PFEP).

See Making Materials Flow

For example:
On a set and timed route e.g. 15 minutes the material handler will pick up the kanban cards and deliver product line side
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Parts withdrawal and conveyance – two systems

2. Fixed quantity unfixed time

- Think of the analogy of a taxi driver who is “on call”
- No standard repeating loop is possible due to the nature of production
- Inventory amounts and clear signals for when to call for material must be crystal clear
- The items delivered each be consistent however the timing of delivery is standard and consistent with this method

For example:
In response to inventory decreasing below the trigger point, the material handler brings a fixed quantity e.g. two boxes to the line in this example

Copyright - Art of Lean, Inc. 2005.
Types of kanban

- Production
- Instruction
- Parts
- Withdrawal
- In-process kanban
  - Signal kanban
  - Pattern production
  - Lot size & batch board
  - Triangle kanban
- Inter-process
- Supplier
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How to expand the system across the plant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Vertical” rollout by value stream</td>
<td>Clear pattern and start point customer back point of view Implementation patterns exist as in LTS*</td>
<td>Difficulty in resolving shared assets problems Lengthy process if you have many value streams</td>
<td>Best to pursue if assets are clearly dedicated to value streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Horizontal” rollout across departments</td>
<td>Solves scheduling problem at shared assets Capture of cross-value-stream such as material handling</td>
<td>Less clear where to start Transition points tricky Sometime you have to “throw the switch” in one fell swoop</td>
<td>Best if more resources are shared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Start based upon your greatest point of need” - Taiichi Ohno

*Learning To See: Value Stream Mapping
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Three reasons why pull systems fail over time...

• **Monitor customer demand information carefully because it changes!**
  - Average demand
  - Seasonal factors
  - Mix variation

• **Monitor process performance and stability**
  - Metrics (Scrap, Rework, Downtime, Changeover time, etc.)

• **Daily supervision and problem solving – make abnormal conditions visual**
  - Is production ahead or behind?
  - Are inventory levels below normal?
  - Are machines cycling on time?
  - Are defects occurring?
  - Are suppliers delivering on time?
Where is the improvement potential?

- Sample questions to ask:

1) What is your on time delivery performance? How can we make it higher?
2) What is your lead-time? How can we make it shorter?
3) What is your inventory level? How can we make it lower?
4) What amount of time do you spend looking for parts? How can you make that go away?
5) How is direct labor productivity and indirect labor productivity affected by material delivery? How much can you improve it?
6) Are the unstable processes in the system? Can you improve them?
7) How good is supplier quality and on-time delivery? How can you improve them also?
Lead-time improvement lever – analysis by inventory driver

Illustrative example

Key drivers

- Average demand
- Lead time to replenish
- Demand volatility
- Forecast/MPS errors
- Down time losses
- Quality losses

Cycle stock (80%)
Inventory required to fulfill average demand

Demand side buffer stock (15%)
Inventory to buffer against fluctuations in customer orders

Supply side safety stock (5%)
Inventory to buffer against variability in internal mfg. processes
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Learn to focus on what matters the most...

Original: 80% of wealth is in the hand of 20% of the population

Quality: 80% of total defect incidents are caused by only 20% of the causes.

Lead-time: 80% of lead-time is tied up by 20% of the operations

Inventory: 80% of the total is tied up in 20% of the part numbers

“In problem solving learn to separate the vital few from the trivial many”

Joseph Juran

In other words there are a thousand plus problems and things that we would like to do in any facility. But there are a probably a few things that will matter more and we'll achieve impact faster by focusing on the vital few items first…